22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	TOMMY J. NICHOLS,	No. C 13-4234 WHA (PR)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER OF TRANSFER
11	V.	
12	WARDEN,	(Dkt. 2)
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	In this habeas case petitioner seeks to challenge a conviction and sentence incurred in	
16	the Superior Court of Stanislaus County. Stanislaus County is in the venue of the United States	
17	District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
18	Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of	
19	conviction, 28 U.S.C. 2241(d); however, petitions challenging a conviction are preferably heard	
20	in the district of conviction. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266	
21	(N.D. Cal. 1968). Because petitioner was convicted in Eastern District, this case is	

of ard (N.D. Cal. 1968). Because petitioner was convicted in Eastern District, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b). Ruling on the request to proceed in forma pauperis

The clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

will be deferred to the Eastern District.

Dated: September <u>24</u>, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE